	assified in Part - Sani DP90M00551R00120		roved for Re		13/08/22 :	-
IA-KI	TRANSMITT		. DAT		2-88	
	TO: /C5	REGIS	TRY	~		
	ROOM NO. BW09	BUILDING				
	REMARKS:					
		ا کھر کھر		1830	-88 -	
:			024	V		
			we !	-	25 X 1	
	Jh.	י שע, למן				
				25X	1	
		•	-			
;			<u> </u>			
	FROM: C/	IPC5				
•	ROOM NO. 5506	BUILDING			EXTENSION	

FORM NO. REPLACES FORM 36-8
Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/08/22:
CIA-RDP90M00551R001200660024-0

(47)

STAT		d Copy Approved for Release 2013/08/22 : CIA-RDP90M00551R001200660			
25 X 1		DEI/ICS 6831-88 1 February 1988	198 a		
25X1		6432-88	13×16°		
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Intelligence Consumers Survey Working Group	$\frac{1}{2}$		
	FROM:	Intelligence Producers Council Staff	ep atting?		
	SUBJECT:	Minutes of 29 January Meeting			
	1. The meeti of the purpose of be surveyed.	ng focused on the two points of the agenda: A consideration this year's survey, and identification of the individuals to	25X1		
	prospectus seemed original survey. Intelligence Comm him that the Acti it and that the C frame it into its	he members observed that the purpose as expressed in the similar in thought and intent to that embodied in the inquired as to who in the upper circles of the unity had seen or approved the statement of purpose. I told ng Chairman of the National Intelligence Council had reviewed hairman of the Intelligence Producers Council had helped to present form. The group asked for a little more time to	25X1		
	consider the purp	,	25 X 1		
	survey. people in the fir makers, they need equivalent, and t source. To drop	more lengthy discussion of the target population for the reported that there were three criteria for selecting st survey: the individuals needed to be de facto policy ed to be at the deputy under secretary level or its hey needed to receive intelligence from more than one a notch lower, according to him, would result in a manifold	25X1		
	expansion of the survey population and would target many who are not really policymakers. asked if we couldn't direct our survey at both levels and then compare results. The consensus was that each organization would turn in a list of their top policymaking consumers and then wrestle with the issue of numbers and levels.				
	4. It was ag February and that in room 6NO2. Ou	reed that the IPC Staff should receive all lists by Friday 12 our next meeting would be held on Friday 19 February at 1345 r purpose will be to refine our list and then begin to ey questions themselves.	25 X 1		
	5. The dist from other organi	ribution list shows the attendees as well as action officers zations so you can communicate with each other.			
٠					
•					
			·		

